ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

In the Matter of the Unlicensed Collection Agency Activity of:

FINANCIAL CREDIT SERVICE, INC. d/b/a ASSET RECOVERY ASSOCIATES and BRUCE COHEN, PRESIDENT

1919 South Highland Avenue, Suite 225A Lombard, IL 60148

Respondents.

No. 17F-BD032-SBD

ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST; NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING; CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

Under Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") § 6-137, the Superintendent of the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions ("Superintendent") issues this Cease and Desist Order ("Order"), containing the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, against Financial Credit Service, Inc. d/b/a Asset Recovery Associates ("Respondent Company") and Bruce Cohen ("Mr. Cohen") President of Respondent Company (collectively, "Respondents").

Under A.R.S. Titles 6 and 41 and Chapter 4 of the Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C."), Respondents have the right to request a hearing to contest the allegations set forth in this Order. The Request for Hearing shall be filed with the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions (the "Department"), under A.R.S. § 6-137(D), within thirty (30) days of service of this Order and shall provide a concise statement of the reason(s) for appeal in accordance with A.R.S. § 41-1092.03(B).

Under A.R.S. §§ 41-1092.01(D) and 41-1092.03(B), any person may appear on his or her own behalf or by counsel. If Respondents are represented by counsel, the information required by A.R.S. § 41-1092.03(B) shall be included in the Request for Hearing. Upon the filing of a Request for Hearing, the Department shall issue a Notice of Hearing scheduling the matter for an administrative hearing before the Office of Administrative Hearings in accordance with A.R.S. § 41-1092.05.

Persons with disabilities may request reasonable accommodations such as interpreters, alternative formats, or assistance with physical accessibility. Requests for special accommodations

must be made at least seven (7) days before the administrative hearing. To request accommodations call the Office of Administrative Hearings at (602) 542-9826.

As a party to this proceeding you have the right to request an informal settlement conference ("ISC"), under A.R.S. § 41-1092.06. To request an ISC you must file a written request with the Department no later than twenty (20) days before a scheduled hearing. The ISC will be held within fifteen (15) days after receipt of your request. At the ISC, a person with the authority to act on behalf of the Department will be present (the "Department Representative"). Likewise, Respondents must have a person with authority to act at the ISC. Please note that in requesting an ISC, you waive any right to object to the participation of the Department Representative in the final administrative decision of this matter. Furthermore, any written or oral statements made by the Department, Respondents, or their representatives at an ISC, including written documentation created or used solely for purposes of settlement negotiations, is inadmissible in any subsequent administrative or judicial hearing. See A.R.S. § 41-1092.06. Conversely, any written or oral statements made by any party outside an ISC may be admissible in any subsequent hearing.

If Respondents do not request a hearing, this Order shall become final. If Respondents request a hearing, the purpose of the hearing shall be to determine if grounds exist for: (1) the issuance of this Order, under A.R.S. § 6-137, directing Respondents to cease and desist from the prohibited acts, practices, or transactions and to take the necessary affirmative actions to correct those prohibited acts, practices, or transactions, within the time prescribed by the Superintendent; (2) the imposition of a civil monetary penalty, under A.R.S. § 6-132; (3) an order to pay restitution of any fees earned in violation of A.R.S. § 32-1001, et seq.; and (4) an order or any other necessary or proper remedy to enforce the statutes and rules regulating a collection agency business, under A.R.S. § 6-123 and 6-131.

Questions about this Cease and Desist Order should be directed to Assistant Attorney General Roberto Pulver, 1275 West Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, telephone number (602) 542-7720 or by e-mail at Roberto.Pulver@azag.gov.

JURISDICTION AND PARTIES

- 1. The Department was created and enabled to administer certain laws and regulations of the State of Arizona by protecting the public interest through the licensure and regulation of Arizona collection agencies. A.R.S. §§ 6-101, -110, -121, -139.
- 2. On July 13, 2015, Respondent Company, an Illinois corporation, registered as a foreign corporation with the Arizona Corporation Commission.
- 3. On February 4, 2016, Respondents registered Asset Recovery Associates as Respondent Company's trade name with the Arizona Secretary of State.
- 4. Mr. Cohen is and was the President of Respondent Company at all times material herein.
- 5. Respondent Company's business is that of soliciting claims for collection and the collection of claims owed, due, or asserted to be owed, or due, within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1001(2)(a).

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 6. The Department conducted an investigation of Respondents due to complaints it received. During its investigation these facts and findings were disclosed:
 - a. On December 28, 2016, the Arizona Attorney General's Office forwarded a complaint it received from Mary S. ("Ms. S."), an Arizona resident, to the Department. Ms. S. complained that Respondent Company attempted to collect a debt against her, though she owed no such debt.
 - b. On November 28 and November 29, 2016, Ms. S. received several telephone calls from Respondent Company's agent. The agent stated during the telephone calls that Ms. S. owed a debt to a bank in the amount of \$13,443.00, and Ms. S. ignored Respondent Company's letter mailed to her in September 2016 to settle the debt.
 - c. Due to Ms. S.'s alleged inaction to settle the debt, Respondent Company was prepared to file a lawsuit for the entire debt against her unless she settled the debt

immediately. The agent during the phone calls offered Ms. S. the opportunity to settle the debt for the amount of \$4,698.62. Ms. S. asked for "some proof and dates" that could confirm the agent's allegations, but Respondent Company failed to provide any documentation to Ms. S. validating the alleged debt was owed.

- d. On January 4, 2017, the Department informed Respondents by mail of Ms. S.'s complaint and the Department's concern that Respondents were conducting unlicensed collection agency activity in Arizona. The Department requested that Respondents respond to Ms. S.'s complaint and the Department's questions as to Respondents' unlicensed collection agency activity. Respondents failed to provide any response to the Department.
- e. Respondent Company is an Illinois domestic corporation which caused the Department to inquire of the Illinois Better Business Bureau ("BBB") whether consumers had filed complaints against the company. The Illinois BBB disclosed that 160 consumers complaint had been filed with them against Respondent Company from 2014 to the present. Several of those complaints claimed that Respondent Company used abusive debt collection tactics and methods, which included threats of filing a lawsuit to intimidate consumers to settle debts. From those BBB complaints, three percent (3%) of the complaints were filed by Arizona residents.
- f. The BBB complaints from Arizona residents were from 2014 through 2016, showing that Respondents attempted to collect delinquent debts from Arizona residents without having an Arizona collection agency license. The BBB complaints from Arizona were sent to Respondents for a response and they provided a response to each complaint.
- g. In 2015, the Department conducted an investigation against Respondents due to an Arizona resident's complaint. Jerry B. ("Mr. B.") complained that Respondent

Company contacted him to collect on a debt. On September 24, 2015, Mr. B. received a telephone call from Respondent Company's agent stating that he owed \$6,000.00 to Providian Bank, and that a lawsuit had been filed against him for the money he owed. Mr. B. asked the agent for records validating the debt, but the agent stated "they cannot provide that."

- h. On October 7, 2015, the Department informed Respondents by mail of Mr. B.'s complaint and the Department's investigation of Respondents' unlicensed collection agency activity in Arizona. The Department requested that Respondents respond to the complaint and the Department's questions as to Respondents' unlicensed collection agency activity. Respondents provided two written responses to the Department, both dated October 27, 2015.
- i. In Respondents' first October 27, 2015 letter, Respondent Company's compliance manager, Ben Ward ("Mr. Ward"), admitted that Respondent Company's agent contacted Mr. B., but also stated that the contact occurred due to a software malfunction, and that Mr. B.'s collection account will be closed and his information deleted from Respondent Company's database.
- j. In that same letter to the Department, Mr. Ward stated that Respondent Company "has submitted and is in the process of obtaining licensure in the state of Arizona."
 Mr. Ward further affirmed in the letter, that Respondents "shall not attempt to collect from any resident of Arizona until a time at which the state grants us a license to do so."
- k. Respondent Company's October 2015 license application disclosed that it was licensed as a collection agency in eighteen jurisdictions. Those jurisdictions were: Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Minnesota, New York City, Ohio, Oregon, Tennessee, Utah, and Washington. Respondent Company's licensures in all these

jurisdictions lead the Department to believe that this seasoned company was serious about being licensed in Arizona.

- 1. The Department received Respondent Company's license application for a collection agency and believed in good faith the company's explanation that the unlicensed collection activity was due to a software malfunction and closed the investigation against Respondents.
- m. Respondent Company never completed its license application to obtain its collection agency license. Subsequently, the Department closed the application on September 2016. The Department did send follow-up correspondence to Respondents to have the license application completed, but Respondent Company never responded.
- n. In 2014, the Department conducted an investigation against Respondents due to complaints from four Arizona residents. Respondent Company contacted these residents to collect their delinquent debts.
- o. In response to those complaints and the Department's investigation, Respondents filed a collection agency application for Respondent Company in January 2014.
 But Respondents' failed to complete Respondent Company's license application and the Department closed the application in March 2014.
- p. The Department's investigation as to the four complaints resulted in no further action against Respondent Company or Mr. Cohen.
- 7. Respondents' practice of submitting collection agency license applications to the Department only when they are being investigated, and not completing those applications, shows Respondents' unwillingness to be licensed and to adhere to Arizona's collection agency statutes.
- 8. Respondents are not and were not, at any times material herein, authorized to transact business in Arizona as a collection agency within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1001 *et seq*.
 - 9. Respondents have unlawfully conducted business as a collection agency in Arizona,

and collected or attempted to collect debts from Arizona residents without being licensed by the Department.

- 10. Respondents are not exempt from licensure as a collection agency within the meaning of A.R.S. § 32-1004.
 - 11. These Findings of Fact shall also serve as Conclusions of Law.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 12. Under A.R.S. § 32-1001 *et seq.*, the Superintendent has the authority and the duty to regulate all persons engaged in the collection agency business and to enforce the statutes, rules, and regulations relating to collection agencies.
- 13. Respondents' conduct as alleged above constitutes a violation of the statutes and rules governing a collection agency and its activities as follows:
 - a. A.R.S. § 32-1021(A) by failing to make an original application to the Department upon forms prescribed by the Superintendent before conducting collection agency activity; and
 - b. A.R.S. § 32-1055(A) by conducting collection agency activity in Arizona without having first applied for and obtained a collection agency license under A.R.S. § 32-1001 *et seq*.
- 14. Respondents have unlawfully conducted business as a collection agency in Arizona, and collected or attempted to collect debt from Arizona residents without being licensed by the Department.
- 15. Under A.R.S. § 6-132, Respondents' violations of the aforementioned statutes are grounds for a civil penalty of not more than five thousand dollars (\$5,000.00) for each violation per day.
- 16. The violations set forth above constitute grounds for: (1) the issuance of this Order, under A.R.S. § 6-137, directing Respondents to cease and desist from the prohibited acts, practices, or transactions and to take the appropriate affirmative actions to correct those prohibited acts,

///

22. **This Order shall become effective upon service**, and shall remain effective and enforceable until such time as, and except to the extent that, it shall be stayed, modified, terminated, or set aside by a court of competent jurisdiction or by the Superintendent.

SO ORDERED this 22nd day of February, 2017

Robert D. Charlton, Superintendent Arizona Department of Financial Institutions

By:

Tammy Seto, Division Manager Consumer Affairs & MSBs Department of Financial Institutions

NOTICE

If Respondents do not want to contest this Order and its assessments, Respondents can consent to this Order by signing the Consent to Entry of Order (see below) and returning it to the Department with a certified or cashier's check payable to the "Arizona Department of Financial Institutions" in the amount of \$20,000.00.

CONSENT TO ENTRY OF ORDER

- A. Respondents acknowledge that they have been served with a copy of the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order in the above-referenced matter, have read it, are aware of their right to an administrative hearing in this matter, and have knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waived that right.
- B. Respondents accept the personal and subject matter jurisdiction of the Superintendent over them.
- C. Respondents consent to the entry of the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Consent to Entry of Order.
- D. Respondents acknowledge that no promises or inducements of any kind have been made to induce them to sign the Consent to Entry of Order, and they do so intelligently and

voluntarily.

- E. Respondents agree to immediately cease and desist from engaging in the prohibited conduct and/or practices set forth above in the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.
- F. Respondents acknowledge that the acceptance of this Consent to Entry of Order by the Superintendent is solely to settle this matter and does not preclude this Department or any other agency of this state or subdivision thereof from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.
- G. Respondents acknowledge and agree that failure to correct the violations or practices set forth above in this Order, or any future findings of repeat violations, may result in disciplinary action that may include a greater civil money penalty.
- H. Bruce Cohen represents that he is the President of Respondent Company and is authorized by Financial Credit Service, Inc. d/b/a Asset Recovery Associates to consent to the entry of this Order on its behalf.
- I. Respondents waive all rights to seek any administrative or judicial review or otherwise to challenge or contest the validity of this Cease and Desist Order before any court of competent jurisdiction.

1	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this day of the foregoing filed, 2017, in the office of:
2	Robert D. Charlton, Superintendent
3	Arizona Department of Financial Institutions ATTN: June Beckwith
4	2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310 Phoenix, Arizona 85018
5	JBeckwith@azdfi.gov
6	COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered same date to:
7	Roberto Pulver, Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General
8	1275 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Roberto.Pulver@azag.gov
9	
10	Tammy Seto, Division Manager Steven McElwain, Senior Examiner
11	ATTN: Linda Lutz Arizona Department of Financial Institutions
12	2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 310 Phoenix, Arizona 85018
13	LLutz@azdfi.gov
14	COPY mailed same date by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to:
15	Bruce Cohen, President Financial Credit Service, Inc.
16	d/b/a Asset Recovery Associates
17	1919 South Highland Avenue, Suite 225A Lombard, IL 60148
18	Respondents
19	Incorp Services, Inc., Statutory Agent 2338 West Royal Palm Road, Suite J
20	Phoenix, AZ 85021 Statutory Agent for Respondent Company
21	
22	5579648v3
23	
24	
25	